Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/682,663	CLUBB ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS M. HAMMOND III	3695
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) THOMAS M. HAMMOND III.	(3)	
(2) Ria Schalnat, Applicant's Representative. (4)		
Date of Interview; 29 January 2009.		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 24.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Allen.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The discussion revolved around when the allocation of the shadow asilets was securited. All micholeses an oneolog, per call allocation from the mester account. It was supposted that an amendment indication that the shadow ranifest were allocated noter to any request for allocation round of overcome. Allor		

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summers of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTQL-413 (Rev. 04-03)